A randomised controlled study to evaluate the use of silicone dressings for the treatment of skin tears.
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Why?

- To undertake the first randomised controlled trial to evaluate the use of soft silicone dressings to promote healing of skin tears.
- To compare the effectiveness of soft silicone dressings for the healing of skin tears with local best practices that do not include soft silicone dressings.

Research questions

1. Is there a difference in the proportion of complete healing between soft silicone dressings and non-soft silicone dressings for treatment of skin tears?
2. Is there a difference in healing rates between soft silicone dressings and non-soft silicone dressings for treatment of skin tears?

How?

Study design:
Randomised controlled prospective pragmatic clinical study.
- Adults ≥ 18 years (n=126).
- Male 44.4%, female 55.6%, mean age 82.9 (+/- 8 years; 45-102 y.o.).
- Long-term care facility and complex continuing care hospital in Ottawa and Toronto, Canada.

Products:

Treatment group (65 individuals)
Mepilex® Border Flex (soft silicone all-in-one foam dressing) for exudative Type 2 and Type 3 skin tears.
Mepitel® One (soft silicone wound contact layer) for Type 1 and Type 2 skin tears with minimal exudate.

Control group (61 individuals)
Alldress® (non-silicone foam dressing) for exudative Type 2 and Type 3 skin tears.
Telfa® (non-adherent non-silicone dressing) for Type 1 and Type 2 skin tears with minimal exudate.

Key measures:
- All subjects were evaluated at week 0, week 1 and week 3 (i.e. at the end of the study).
- Weekly measurement of wound sizes and wound surface areas.
- Proportionate changes in mean surface area over the 3-week period.
- Photography of the wounds.
- Pain: Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) or Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) scale.
- Adverse events.
Key outcomes

Skin tear classification

- Type 1: 44%
- Type 2: 43%
- Type 3: 13%

Product utilisation

- Mepilex® Border Flex: 44%
- Alldress®: 36%
- Non-adherent (Covidien Telfa®): 7%
- Mepitel® One: 13%

Complete wound closure

- Control group: 10%
- Treatment group: 48%
- Week 1: 28%
- Week 2*: 88%

Mean wound surface area

- Control (n=58): 2.1–1.5= 0.6 cm²
- Treatment (n=64): 3.4–0.64= 2.9 cm²
- t value = -3.04 (df=73.6); p=0.003

Skin tear healing time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group assignments</th>
<th>Time to heal (days)</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>18.111</td>
<td>21.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone</td>
<td>8.615</td>
<td>.858</td>
<td>6.934</td>
<td>10.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>15.037</td>
<td>1.307</td>
<td>1.307</td>
<td>17.598</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X² 16.516 p<.0001

- **Mepitel® One** showed clinical benefits in the treatment of Skin tears Type 1 & 2 and Mepilex® Border Flex in the treatment of Skin tears Type 2 & 3.
- **Significantly greater reduction** in wound surface area relative to baseline in Treatment group (2.9 cm²) compared to Control group (0.6 cm²) (p=0.003).
- **88% of patients** achieved complete wound closure at week 2 in the Treatment group compared to 28% in the Control group.
- Skin tears in elderly patients (mean age 82.9) healed over 50% faster with soft silicone dressings compared with conventional non-adherent dressings (~8 days vs 20 days).

Conclusions

Results of this study suggest that silicone dressings are superior (quicker complete wound closure and faster mean healing time) to non-silicone dressing for the treatment of skin tears. Full study results to be shared after the publication is available.
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