

The Power of Gentle

Product selection guide for burns

Burns are painful and often life-changing for the burn patient. By reducing pain, distress and anxiety, we can support an effective healing process and improve outcomes. Our product portfolio does not make compromises. With a gentle Safetac® wound contact layer, our products provide effective care and reduce additional trauma and suffering – every step of the way. This means undisturbed wound healing, improved cost-effectiveness, and a better patient experience¹⁻⁵.





Partial thickness burns

Cleansing



Granudacyn®

Exudate management / Antimicrobial barrier

Exudate level	Normal-to-dress areas	Difficult-to-dress areas
Low	 Mepilex® Ag	 Mepilex® Ag
Medium	 Mepilex® Ag	 Mepitel® Ag Secondary dressing or  Mepilex® Transfer Ag Secondary dressing
High	 Exufiber® Ag Secondary dressing or  Mepilex® Transfer Ag Secondary dressing	 Exufiber® Ag Secondary dressing or  Mepilex® Transfer Ag Secondary dressing

Secondary dressings



Mextra® Very high exudate level



Mesorb® High exudate level

Fixation



Tubifast®



Tubifast® garments

Swelling management



Tubigrip®

Scar management



Mepiform®

Donor site management



Melgisorb® Plus

Secondary dressing

or



Exufiber®

Secondary dressing

Skin graft fixation

Low risk of infection:



Mepitel® One


Secondary dressing

or



Avance® Solo

High risk of infection:



Mepitel® Ag

Secondary dressing

Disclaimer: Always consult the Instructions For Use provided with the medical device before usage. Assortment and Indications for Use may vary depending on the country.

References:
1. Gee Kee EL, Kimble RM, Cuttle L, Khan A, Stockton KA. Randomized controlled trial of three burns dressings for partial thickness burns in children. Burns 2015; 41(5):946-955. 2. Silverstein P, Heimbach D, Meites H et al. An open, parallel, randomized, comparative, multicenter study to evaluate the cost-effectiveness, performance, tolerance, and safety of a silver containing soft silicone foam dressing (intervention) vs silver sulfadiazine cream. J Burn Care Res 2011; 32(6): 617-626. 3. Gee Kee EL, Stockton K, Kimble RM et al. Cost-effectiveness of silver dressings for paediatric partial thickness burns: An economic evaluation from a randomized controlled trial. Burns 2017; 43(4): 724-732. 4. Aggarwala S, Harish V, Roberts S et al. Treatment of partial thickness burns: a prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing Biobrane, Acticoat, Mepilex Ag and Aquacel Ag. J Burn Care Res 2020; 42(5): 934-43. 5. Tang H, Lv G, Fu J et al. An open, parallel, randomized, comparative, multicenter investigation evaluating the efficacy and tolerability of Mepilex Ag versus silver sulfadiazine in the treatment of deep partial-thickness burn injuries. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2015; 78(5): 1000-1007.

